

Minutes of Meeting of Bid Opening for Selection of System Integrator (SI) for Meghalaya CCTNS held at DGP Conference Hall, PHQ, Shillong on the 12th May, 2011 at 3:00PM with reference to the NIT floated vide this office letter No. S-298/RFP-SI/CCTNS/2011/104 Dt. 14/04/2011

The following officials, members of SPMC of Meghalaya CCTNS and the representatives of the bidders attended:

Officials	SPMC, CCTNS, Meghalaya	Representatives of the bidders.
1. Shri Prem Singh, IPS, Director General of Police cum Chairman SEC, CCTNS	1. Shri Joshua Balamphang Phanwar	1. Shri Debajyoti Bhattacharjee, Wipro Ltd.
2. Shri A.K.Mathur, IPS, ADGP, CID, Co-Chairman SEC	2. Shri Satyakam Dutta	2. Shri Anupam Deka, Wipro Ltd.
3. Shri B.R.Rana, IPS, IGP, CID, Member SEC	3. Shri Saurabh Tibrewal	3. Shri Ajitava Maitra, Wipro Ltd.
4. Shri D.N. Jyrwa, SP, SCRB, Convener SEC		4. Shri Bishnu Shekhar Baruah, Wipro Ltd.
5. Shri P.A. Sawian OSD & Ex-Officio Dir. Finance (T), Member SEC		5. Shri Mandeep Gupta, Spanco Ltd.
6. Smti. E.M. Donn, Dy. Secy, Law Dept., Member SEC		6. Shri Prakash Ch. Jha, 3i-Infotech
7. Shri. T.R. Marak, JIO, NIC, Member SEC		7. Shri Surender Nitharwal, 3i-Infotech
8. Smti H. Dkhar, Under Secretary Home (Police) Dept.		8. Shri Kaushik Ganguly, CMC Ltd.
9. Shri Pyndaplang Nongpiur, Scientist 'C', NIC		9. Shri S.Senthil Kumar, Gemini Comm. Ltd.
10. Shri Koushik Choudhary, Scientist 'C', NIC		10. Shri Shyam Kanwar, Gemini Comm. Ltd.
11. Inspr. Ananto Sangma (I/C CCTNS cell)		
12. Inspr. N.R.Jyrwa (CCTNS Cell)		
13. Sub-Inspector Andrew War (CCTNS Cell)		
14. Cons T.Ranee (CCTNS Cell)		

Introduction:

1. As per the NIT the closing time for the receipts of the bids was 3PM of the 12th May, 2011 and the bids were to be opened immediately thereafter. Therefore, at 3PM of the 12th May, 2011 all the officials as above gathered in the conference hall of the DGP's Office and the officials, the SPMC members and the representatives were introduced. Shri Prem Singh, IPS, Director General of Police, Meghalaya chaired the tender opening.

2. The following 5 firms submitted their Bids namely:
 - o Spanco Ltd.
 - o Wipro Ltd.
 - o CMC Ltd.
 - o 3i Infotech
 - o Gemini Comm. Ltd.

3. At the outset the boxes in which the bids were brought were visually checked to find out if they all had abided by the instruction with regard to sealing and packing as given in the NIT and the corrigendum issued on the 4th May, 2011 via Meghalaya Police website and via mail to the firms which had participated in the pre-bid conference held in the office of the DGP on the 29th April, 2011.

4. The Bidders had followed the instructions except in case of Spanco Ltd. whose representative Shri Mandeep Gupta had himself brought the bids in the box which was not sealed as per the tendering instruction as aforesaid mentioned. All the other Bidders with the exception of M/S Spanco Ltd have complied with the sealing instructions. In view of the fact that the firm had not complied with the sealing instructions, the decision was left to all the participants to allow M/S Spanco to participate in the tender opening. The Officials and the Bidders, excluding the representative of M/s Wipro Ltd. were satisfied that the box, in which the bid of M/S Spanco Ltd. was kept, was not tampered and therefore accepted the bid of M/S Spanco Ltd.

5. All the Bids were open in the following serial order:

I. Wipro

The firm submitted all the requisite documents/EMDs as follows

Sl.No	Name of the Documents
1.	Envelope-1 :- EMD of Rs.25 Lakhs
2.	Envelope-2:-Pre-Qualification envelopes (Original and Duplicate) along with the Pre-qualification CD and Tender fee of Rs. 10,000/-.
3.	Envelope-3:-Technical Proposal envelopes (Original and Duplicate) along with the technical proposal CD and the 3 volumes of the signed RFP.
4.	Envelope-4:- Commercial Proposal Envelope.
5	Envelope 5: -Bid Cover letter and all the above 4 envelopes (envelope 1-4)

II. 3i-Infotech

The firm submitted all the requisite documents/EMDs as follows

Sl.No	Name of the Documents
1.	Envelope-1 :- EMD of Rs.25 Lakhs and Tender Fees of Rs.10,000/-
2.	Envelope-2:-Pre-Qualification envelopes (Original and Duplicate) along with the Pre-qualification CD.
3.	Envelope-3:-Technical Proposal envelopes (Original and Duplicate) along with the technical proposal CD.
4.	Envelope-4:- Commercial Proposal Envelope.
5	Envelope 5: -Bid Cover letter, 3 volumes of the signed RFP and the all the above 4 envelopes (envelope 1-4)

III. Gemini Communication Ltd.

The firm submitted all the requisite documents/EMDs as follows

Sl.No	Name of the Documents
1.	Envelope-1:- EMD of Rs.25 Lakhs, Tender Fees of Rs.10,000/- and Bid Cover letter.
2.	Envelope-2:-Pre-Qualification envelopes (Original and Duplicate).
3.	Envelope-3:-Technical Proposal envelopes (Original and Duplicate) and the 3 volumes of the signed RFP.
4.	Envelope-4:- Commercial Proposal Envelope.
5	Envelope 5: - Pre-qualification CD, technical proposal CD and all the above 4 envelopes (envelope 1-4)
<p>M/S Gemini Communication Ltd had placed the bid cover letter inside the envelope containing EMD (Envelope 1) instead of envelope 5 as instructed in the RFP. The representative of M/S Spanco raised objection saying that Gemini Communication Ltd. should be disqualified for the aforesaid reason. But the bids were allowed by the voice vote of all the officials including the other representative of the firms members on the ground that the authenticity and genuineness of the document was not compromised. The representative of M/S Spanco then withdrew his objection through verbal presentation.</p>	

IV. CMC Ltd.

The firm submitted all the requisite documents/EMDs as follows

Sl.No	Name of the Documents
1.	Envelope-1 :- EMD of Rs.25 Lakhs
2.	Envelope-2:-Pre-Qualification envelopes (Original and Duplicate) along with the Pre-qualification CD.
3.	Envelope-3:-Technical Proposal envelopes (Original and Duplicate) along with the technical proposal CD.
4.	Envelope-4:- Commercial Proposal Envelope.
5	Envelope 5: -Bid Cover letter, 3 volumes of the signed RFP. and all the above 4 envelopes (envelope 1-4)

V. Spanco Ltd.

The firm submitted all the requisite documents/EMDs as follows

Sl.No	Name of the Documents
1.	Envelope-1 :- EMD of Rs.25 Lakhs and Tender Fees of Rs.10,000/-
2.	Envelope-2:-Pre-Qualification envelopes (Original and Duplicate) along with the Pre-qualification CD.
3.	Envelope-3:-Technical Proposal envelopes (Original and Duplicate) along with the technical proposal CD.
4.	Envelope-4:- Commercial Proposal Envelope.
5	Envelope 5: -Bid Cover letter, 3 volumes of the signed RFP and the all the above 4 envelopes (envelope 1-4)
<p>M/s Spanco Ltd. did not comply with the instructions relating to submission of RFP and Corrigendum duly signed and sealed. The RFP documents were not endorsed under the dated signature of M/S Spanco Ltd. or authorised signatory. Representatives of other bidders raised objection to acceptance of the RFP documents and therefore the bid itself. The State Empowered Committee (SEC) member noted their objection and recommend that the same be studied by the technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) from legal point of view.</p>	

6. On Scrutiny it was found that all the bidders had furnished the proposal in the enclosed formats and as per the instructions in RFP Vol- 2 and the corrigendum, except for the for the specific cases mention above.
7. The important documents and the envelopes of the Bids were signed by the officials present.

8. All the enclosed documents and envelopes of the bids were enumerated and listed down on paper which was signed by the representatives of the respective firms.
9. It was decided that Shri Demann Jyrwa, SP, SCRB, will be the chairman of the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) to evaluate. The members of the committee would be as follows:-
- | | | | | |
|------|--|------|------|----------|
| i. | Shri D.N.Jyrwa, Superintendent of Police, SCRB,
Meghalaya, Shillong | --- | ---- | Chairman |
| ii. | Shri T.R.Marak, Junior Informatics Officer,
IT Department, Govt of Meghalaya, Shillong. | ---- | ---- | Members |
| iii. | Shri B.Lyngskor, Scientist 'D' NIC
Meghalaya, Shillong. | --- | ---- | Members |
| iv. | Shri Pyndapleng Nongpiur, Scientist 'C' NIC
Meghalaya, Shillong | --- | ---- | Members |
| v. | Shri Koushik Choudhury, Scientist 'C' NIC
Meghalaya, Shillong. | --- | ---- | Members |
10. The TEC will complete the process of evaluation within a month and the exact date, time and other details relating to the bid evaluation process will be intimated later through Email and the same will be posted in the Meghalaya Police website.
11. It was also decided that Shri A.K.Mathur, IPS, Nodal officer CCTNS, will chair the further proceedings connected with the CCTNS project relating to the discussion to be done on the TEC report and the decision thereon and also with regard to the Commercial price bids opening and the processes connected to thereafter leading to the selection of System Integrator (SI).
This issues with the vetting by all the officials member present and with the necessary inputs given by the SPMC.


Sd/-
(A.K.Mathur, IPS)
Additional Director General of Police, CID
Cum Nodal Officer CCTNS Project.
Meghalaya, Shillong

Memo No. S-298/RFP-SI/CCTNS/2011/Pt-1/6

Dated Shillong the 31st May, 2011.

Copy to:-

1. Shri N.K.Tripathi, IPS Director General NCRB, New Delhi, for favour of information.
2. Shri Prem Singh, IPS, Director General of Police, Meghalaya. Shillong for favour of information.
3. Shri B.R.Rana, IPS Inspector General of Police (CID), Meghalaya, Shillong for information.
4. Shri P.A.Sawian, Officer on Special Duty to the Govt. of Meghalaya Finance Department, for information.
5. Smti H.Dkhar, Under Secretary Home (Police) Department, Meghalaya, Shillong for information.
6. Smti E.M.Donn, Dy. Secretary Law Department Meghalaya, Shillong for information.
7. Shri T.R.Marak, JIO, NIC, Meghalaya, Shillong for information.
8. Shri Koushik Choudhary, Scientist 'C', NIC, Shillong for information.
9. Shri Pyndapleng Nongpiur, Scientist 'C', NIC, Shillong for information.


Superintendent of Police, SCRB
Meghalaya, Shillong.